

TRIM (C/16/1765) Fw: melbourne water proposal

Bobby Flynn to: Maria Ponce

08/02/2016 12:34 PM

From:

Bobby Flynn/ESC

To:

Maria Ponce/ESC@ESC

History:

This message has been replied to.

Bobby Flynn | Intern, Water | Essential Services Commission | 03 9032 1338 Level 37, 2 Lonsdale Street, Melbourne 3000 |bobby.flynn@esc.vic.gov.au

---- Forwarded by Bobby Flynn/ESC on 08/02/2016 12:34 PM ----

From:

Water/ESC

To:

Bobby Flynn/ESC@ESC

Date: Subject: 08/02/2016 10:13 AM Fw: melbourne water proposal

Sent by:

Bobby Flynn

---- Forwarded by Bobby Flynn/ESC on 08/02/2016 10:13 AM ----

From:

John Shipston

To:

water@esc.vic.gov.au

Date:

05/02/2016 05:24 PM

Subject:

melbourne water proposal

The Chair, Melbourne Water Price Revie

We refer to helibourne Water's 2016 Pricing Submission Dated 20th October 2015 and in particular to the proposed introduction from the St. July 2016 of A new tariff is proposed for the Patterson Lakes Marina complement an axisting contract. The sariff will recover the renewal and maintenance cost of a stidel pat that services the Marina. The entroof for calculating the sariff is consistent with the principles used to develop the Patterson Lakes Jetty tariffs' as briefly noted by Melbourne Water on Page 80 of their Submission.

We submit as one of the directly affected residential property owners, given that on the basis of the various Agroements in place this proposed "New Yarff", could result in a potential new and additional annual cost to journelves of in exense of \$931.66 whilst our neighbours directly opposite on the other side of our street who also benefit from the protection of this Tidal Flood Get would incur on additional charge over and beyond the Melbourne Metropolitan Waterways and Drainage Charge.

by seek the Commissions consideration towards declining the proposed "New Tariff" on the gro

- that

 it is discriminatory and would impose an additional inequitable impose on ourselves and the other affector residencial owners for no other reason other that we are simply located adjacent to the motins, as apposed to being located an any ather part of the Tidal Waterways, where the other 3,500 proporties who also benefit from these integrated Tidal Flood Control Gates will not incur any additional charge over and beyond the general waterways and devisione perhaps which we are also already perhaps as a first to take Control Gates that it is total contradiction to Methourne Water's previous acceptance of the conclusions and recommendations of the 2013 Instrument lakes integrated Release, wherein it was formally and pushiculy agreed that in view of the wider community benefit provided by the Total Flood Control Gates that their enemal, operational and maintenance costs would be funded through the Methourne Metropolism Waterways and Disnings Charge.

 is falls to comply with Melinaume Water's gasis and objectives of "Melbourne Water's overarching gool in to provide our customers, with the dest possible services at a fair price."

 Your Sincertry.